

WO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

General Motors Corporation; and its)	No. 02-CV-2132-PHX-PGR
Insurer, Associated Aviation Underwriters)	
Plaintiffs,	
vs.	
Maritz, Inc., Maritz Travel Company, and)	
Maritz Travel Associates,	
Defendants.	

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is the Stipulation (Doc. 107) filed by the parties to extend specific discovery deadlines. As set forth in the Scheduling Order of June 30, 2008, this Court will not entertain any stipulations to continue deadlines. (Doc. 105.) Therefore, the Court will consider this a Motion for Extension for Time.

Furthermore, despite the parties' failure to provide the Court with good cause for such extensions, the Court will grant this extension as a **one-time courtesy**.<sup>1</sup> However, counsel is advised that when a motion for such a change to a court order is made, a reason shall be set forth for the Court to consider, and "very good cause" shall be established for such

---


<sup>1</sup> Counsel is advised that future failure to comply with this Court's Orders or the Local and/or Civil Rules of Procedure will result in papers being stricken from the docket.

1 changes.<sup>2</sup> (Doc. 105.) Considering the deadlines have already passed for the foregoing  
2 discovery deadlines,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED **GRANTING** the Motion<sup>3</sup>. (Doc. 107.) The deadline for  
4 the disclosure of expert witnesses is hereby changed from **October 24, 2008 to November**  
5 **17, 2008** and the deadline for rebuttal expert witnesses is hereby changed from **November**  
6 **21, 2008 to December 12, 2008.** (Doc. 107.)

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other deadlines set forth in this Court's  
8 Scheduling Order, dated June 30, 2008, shall remain as stated therein. (Doc. 105.)

9 DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2008.

10  
11   
12 Paul G. Rosenblatt  
13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

---

26 <sup>2</sup> Simply stating in a proposed order that “good cause appears therefor” does not  
27 establish good cause.

28 <sup>3</sup> Titled Stipulation on the Docket.